A fast Monte Carlo scheme for additive processes and option pricing

M. Azzone, *Politecnico Milano*

joint work with R. Baviera, Politecnico Milano

ICCF24 2024 - Amsterdam - April 4



Additive process

Time-inhomogeneous Lèvy processes

characteristic function

characteristic function for increments



 $\phi_t(\xi) \coloneqq E\left[e^{i X_t \xi}\right]$

✓ bounds of analyticity strip for $\phi_t(\xi)$





We simulate increments for path-dependent products

Applications in quantitative finance are relatively few:

> Carr-Geman-Madan-Yor (2007) introduce self-similar (or Sato) processes in derivative pricing;

Li-Li-MendozaArriaga (2016) build a quite large class of Additive proc. via Levy subordination;

... but are the new frontier:

- > Madan-Wang (2020, 2023) Additive bilateral VG and time embedding.
- Carr-Torricelli (2021), European options (Black & Bachelier like) with a simple closed formula;
- > A.-Baviera (2021, 2022) Excellent calibration properties and power law scaling.



Jump based approaches:

- > Cont, R. & Tankov, P. (2003). And references therein (e.g. for Gaussian-Aproximation)
- > Eberlein, E. & Madan, D. B. (2009). Pricing of structured products.

CDF-FFT based approaches for Lèvy:

- Glasserman-Liu (2010). Error bounds estimation due to linear CDF interpolation.
- Chen-Feng-Lin (2012). Simulation via Sinc method;
- Ballotta-Kyriakou (2014) An FFT simulation technique with error bounds estimation.



The idea: Simulation Lewis-FFT-S method



✓ Spline interpolation

➤Theorem 2.1

 $p_t^+ \ \& \ p_t^-$ (bounds analyticity strip) non increasing in t for all additive processes.

Consequence: to simulate the increment we always consider $p_t^+ \ \& \ p_t^-$

$$\phi_{s,t} = \frac{\phi_t(u)}{\phi_s(u)}$$





► Assumption: Exponential Decay: $|\phi_{s,t}(u-ia)| < Be^{-bu^{\omega}}$ $\forall a \in (0, p_t^+ + 1)$

Proposition 2.2.

If the Assumption hold

1. CDF error bound (*N* number of grid points)

$$\mathcal{E}_N^{CDF}(x) = O(N^{-\omega/(1+\omega)}) \exp(-bN^{\omega/(1+\omega)})$$

 $\omega > 0$

2. optimal bound for $a = (p_t^+ + 1)/2$

It is possible to have an error bound also for power decay.



Comparison with Hilbert Transform

Error bounds: Chen-Feng-Lin (2012): $\sim \max\left(e^{\frac{\pi p_t}{h}}, e^{-\frac{\pi (p_t^++1)}{h}}\right)$ Lewis with optimal a: $\sim \min\left(e^{\frac{\pi p_t}{h}}, e^{-\frac{\pi (p_t^++1)}{h}}\right)$



Unstable for numerical routines

CDF inversion error with and without a symmetric grid



Sampling from approximated CDF





Sampling from approximated CDF





Sampling from approximated CDF





Approximated CDF \hat{P} on a grid $x_1, ..., x_j, ..., x_K$ Generate N_{Sim} uniform random variables U

Steps

1. Select j s.t.
$$\hat{P}(x_{j-1}) \le U \le \hat{P}(x_j)$$
 (N-N alg.)

2. Determine the linear interpolation coefficients c_0^j and c_1^j

3. Compute
$$X = c_0^j + c_1^j U$$



Sampling from approximated CDF (Spline interpolation)

In practice K (grid size) << N_{sim}



Similar computational times for spline and linear interpolation

Steps

- 1. Select j s.t. $\hat{P}(x_{j-1}) \leq U \leq \hat{P}(x_j)$
- 2. Determine the spline interpolation coefficients
- 3. Compute X = spline(U)

Comp. Costs 1. $N_{sim} \log_2 N_{Sim}$ 2. 8K - 73. $\approx N_{sim}$



When pricing a generic derivative with an approximated CDF

Error < CDF related Error +

Interpolation Error +

Truncation Error

Upper bounds are analytical



Error contributions





The model: Additive Tempered Stable (ATS)

Forward with expiry T is modeled as an exponential Additive

 $F_t(T) \coloneqq F_0(T) \exp(f_t)$

with f_t an ATS, whose characteristic function is

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{iuf_t}\right] = \mathcal{L}_t\left(iu\left(\frac{1}{2} + \eta_t\right)\sigma_t^2 + \frac{u^2\sigma_t^2}{2}; \ k_t, \ \alpha\right)e^{-iut \ \ln \mathcal{L}_t\left(\sigma_t^2 \eta_t; \ k_t\right)}$$

with
$$k_t = \bar{k}t^\beta$$
 $\eta_t = \bar{\eta}t^\delta$ $\sigma_t = \sigma$ $\alpha \in (0,1)$





Skew: slope of the IV ATM. Risk management of derivatives



Interpolation error: linear vs spline



Bias estimation: MAX error on 30 calls with moneyness degree in the range (-0.2, 0.2)'Variance' estimation: SD error with 10^7 simulations



Accuracy

> Plain Vanilla calls (30 calls, ttm = 1m, moneyness degree in the range (-0.2, 0.2), $N_{sim} = 10^7$)

	M	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
$\alpha = 1/3$	MAX [bp]	7.08	0.32	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
	RMSE [bp]	3.49	0.29	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
	MAPE $[\%]$	2.30	0.21	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
	SD [bp]	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.12
$\alpha = 2/3$	MAX [bp]	317.29	0.19	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03
	RMSE [bp]	282.99	0.16	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02
	MAPE $[\%]$	185.64	0.11	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
	SD [bp]	0.25	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.11

Discretely monitored options (5y, Q/Q)

Moneyness	Asian [%]	SD [%]	Lookback [%]	SD [%]	Down-and-In [%]	SD [%]
-0.5	39.79	0.01	3.31	0.00	2.31	0.00
-0.25	24.36	0.01	8.72	0.00	3.98	0.00
0	10.04	0.01	23.07	0.01	6.15	0.01
0.25	2.57	0.00	50.53	0.01	8.95	0.01
0.5	0.55	0.00	86.98	0.01	12.55	0.01



Computational time: Lewis-FFT-S vs GA (with Ziggurat)





Simulation 10⁷ trials

	M	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
$\alpha = 1/3$	Time [s]	0.23	0.27	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.29
$\alpha = 2/3$	Time [s]	0.25	0.27	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28

 \simeq 3 times GBM



A new MC technique for Additive processes based on FFT

We improve the two main sources of numerical errors (CDF inversion and linear interpolation)

Very fast: same order of magnitudes as GBM.



Conclusions: additive vs other model classes. Not only fast-simulation!





- [1] Azzone, M. & Baviera, R. (2021). Additive normal tempered stable processes for equity derivatives and power law scaling. Quantitative Finance, 1-18.
- [2] Azzone, M. & Baviera, R. (2022). *Short-time implied volatility of additive normal tempered stable processes* Annals of operations research, 1-34
- [3] Ballotta, L. & Kyriakou, I. (2014). *Monte Carlo simulation of the CGMY process and option pricing*. Journal of Futures Markets, 34(12), 1095-1121.
- [4] Benth, F.E. & Sgarra, C. (2012). *The risk premium and the Esscher transform in power markets*, Stochastic Analysis and Applications, 30 (1), 20-43.
- [5] Carr, P., Geman, H., Madan, D.B. & Yor, M. (2007). *Self-decomposability and option pricing*, Mathematical Finance, 17 (1), 31-57
- [6] Carr, P. & Torricelli, L. (2021). Additive logistic processes in option pricing, Fin. Stoch., 25, 689-724
- [7] Chen, Z., Feng, L. &Lin, X. (2012). Simulating Lévy processes from their characteristic functions and financial applications, ACM Transactions on Modeling and Computer Simulation, 22 (3), 1-26.



- [8] Cont, R. & Tankov, P. (2003). Financial Modelling with jump processes, Chapman Fin. Math. Series
- [9] Eberlein, E. & Madan, D. B. (2009). Sato processes and the valuation of structured products. Quantitative Finance, 9(1), 27-42.
- [10] Glasserman, P. & Liu, Z. (2010). *Sensitivity estimates from characteristic functions*, Operations Research, 58 (6), 1611-1623.
- [11] Lee R. (2004). Option Pricing by Transform Methods: Extensions, Unification, and Error Control, Journal of Computational Finance, 7(3):51-86
- [12] Li, J., Li, L. & Mendoza-Arriaga, R. (2016). *Additive subordination and its applications in finance*, Finance and Stochastics, 20 (3), 589-634
- [13] Madan, D. B., & Wang, K. (2020). *Additive processes with bilateral Gamma marginals*. Applied Mathematical Finance, 27(3), 171-188.



The paper



M.A. and R. Baviera. "A fast Monte Carlo scheme for additive processes and option pricing." *Computational Management Science* 20.1 (2023): 31.

