Pricing and replication of the prepayment option introducing a non-hedgeable risk factor

Leonardo Perotti Joint work with Lech Grzelak and Kees Oosterlee L.Perotti@uu.nl Leonardo.Perotti@rabobank.com

Utrecht University – Mathematical Institute Rabobank – Treasury ALM Modelling

ICCF24, 4th April 2024





Leonardo Perotti Pricing and replication of the prepayment option

Outline

Introduction

- Fixed rate mortgages and swaps
- Embedded prepayment option and stochastic notional profile

2 Model and pricing

- Model specification
- Payoff and valuation
- Non unique price

3 Static replication

- Problem formulation
- Optimization
- Numerical examples

Fixed rate mortgages and swaps

- Fixed rate mortgages expose financial institutions to interest rate risk.
- The combination of fixed rate mortgages and suitable floating rate notes (FRNs) is equivalent to (amortizing) interest rate swaps (IRSs).
- ► The interest rate risk is fully hedged using (amortizing) swaps.



Figure 1: Equivalence between an IRS (right) and the sum of a fixed rate interest-only mortgage and an FRN (left). Green: notional payments. Red: fixed rate payments. Blue: floating rate payments.

Embedded prepayment option

- Most mortgage contracts allow the owner to (partially) repay in advance the mortgage notional, namely to prepay.
- ► The prepayment right is an embedded prepayment option (EPO).
- Prepayment leads to a mismatch between the cash flows of the original hedge and of the mortgage —> interest rate risk!



Figure 2: Effect of prepayment on future cash flows. Green: notional payments. Red: fixed rate payments. Blue: floating rate payments. Yellow: notional prepayments. Dotted: unrealized future cash flows.

Stochastic notional profile

- Portfolio of mortgages: fixed rate K, contractual notional profile N_c(t) (with N₀ = N_c(t₀)), and payment dates T_c = {t₁,..., t_n}.
- Annualized unconditional instantaneous prepayment at t, $\Lambda(t)$.

• The realized notional, $N_r(t)$, and the EPO notional, N(t) are:

$$N_r(t) = \left[N_c(t) - N_0 \int_{t_0}^t \Lambda(\tau) \mathrm{d} au
ight]^+, \quad N(t) = N_c(t) - N_r(t).$$



Figure 3: Notional profiles. Left: mortgage realized notional. Right: EPO notional.

Model dynamics

• On the filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$, we consider the two risk factors r(t) and b(t), $t \in [t_0, t_n]$, with dynamics:

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d} r(t) &= \alpha_r^{\mathbb{P}}(\theta_r^{\mathbb{P}}(t) - r(t)) \mathrm{d} t + \eta_r \mathrm{d} W_r^{\mathbb{P}}(t), \quad r(t_0) = r_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \mathrm{d} b(t) &= \alpha_b^{\mathbb{P}}(\theta_b^{\mathbb{P}} - b(t)) \mathrm{d} t + \eta_b \mathrm{d} W_b^{\mathbb{P}}(t), \qquad b(t_0) = b_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \end{split}$$

where $dW_r^{\mathbb{P}}(t)dW_b^{\mathbb{P}}(t) = \rho dt$, $\rho \in [-1, 1]$. $\blacktriangleright r(t)$ is the short rate, while b(t) is a *non-hedgeable* risk factor.



Figure 4: Left: hedgeable risk factor r(t). Right: non-hedgeable risk factor b(t).

► We define the *rate incentive* as:

$$\varepsilon(t) = K - \kappa(t) = g(t, r(t)),$$

with κ a reference rate and g a suitable function.



The annualized unconditional instantaneous prepayment Λ(t) is modelled using a sigmoid h:

$$\begin{split} &\Lambda(t) = h(t, \varepsilon(t), b(t)), \\ &h(t, \epsilon, b) = l + \frac{u - l}{2} \Big[\tanh \big(a(\epsilon + b) \big) + 1 \Big], \quad l, u, a \in \mathbb{R}. \end{split}$$

EPO cash flows and value

▶ The EPO has multiple *cash flows*, occurring at $t_j \in T_c$, given by:

$$H(t_j) = \left[\int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} N(t) \mathrm{d}t\right] \Big(K - L(t_{j-1}; t_{j-1}, t_j) \Big),$$

for the reference floating rate $L(t_{j-1}; t_{j-1}, t_j)$ fixed at time t_{j-1} , and:

$$N(t) = N_c(t) - N_r(t) = \min\left(N_c(t), N_0 \int_{t_0}^t \Lambda(\tau) \mathrm{d}\tau\right).$$

► The EPO *value process* reads:

$$V(t,r,b,N) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\sum_{t_j>t} \frac{M(t)}{M(t_j)} H(t_j) \Big| \mathcal{F}(t)\right],$$

where \mathbb{Q} is a – *non unique* – *equivalent martingale measure* (EMM) associated with the money savings account M(t) as numéraire.

Cash flows and value process



Figure 6: Left: cash flows. Right: value process.

The valuation task requires the knowledge of a risk neutral dynamics:

$$dr(t) = (\cdots)dt + \eta_r dW_r^{\mathbb{Q}_{\lambda}}(t), \qquad r(t_0) = r_0 \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$db(t) = (\cdots)dt + \eta_b dW_b^{\mathbb{Q}_{\lambda}}(t), \qquad b(t_0) = b_0 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

• The *change of measure* is driven by the relationship:

$$dW_r^{\mathbb{Q}_{\lambda}}(t) = \lambda_r(t)dt + dW_r^{\mathbb{P}}(t),$$

$$dW_b^{\mathbb{Q}_{\lambda}}(t) = \lambda_b(t)dt + dW_b^{\mathbb{P}}(t).$$

Since b is non hedgeable, the market price of risk λ_b is undetermined.

• The value process is a function of λ_b , namely $V(t, r, b, N; \lambda_b)$.

Robust (static) replication

▶ The wealth invested in the EPO and in the replication are:

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{W}_{EPO}(t;\lambda_b) = \mathcal{V}(t;\lambda_b) + \mathcal{C}_{EPO}(t), \ & \mathcal{W}_{REP}(t;\mathbf{w}) = \sum_i w_i \mathcal{V}_i(t) + \mathcal{C}_{REP}(t), \end{aligned}$$

where w_i and V_i are notional and value of the *i*-th hedging instrument, and C_{EPO} and C_{REP} are suitable cash accounts.

A loss function is defined as:

$$L(\mathbf{w}; \lambda_b) = \int_{t_0}^{t_n} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}_{\lambda}} \Big[\Big(W_{EPO}(t; \lambda_b) - W_{REP}(t; \mathbf{w}) \Big)^2 \Big] \mathrm{d}t.$$

► The optimal *robust replication* **w**^{*} is obtained – if it exists – solving:

$$\inf_{\mathbf{w}} \sup_{\lambda_b} L(\mathbf{w}; \lambda_b).$$

"Affine" change of measure

▶ By restricting λ_b to affine processes $\lambda_b(t) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 b(t)$, with $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, the risk neutral dynamics for *b* reads:

$$\mathrm{d}b(t) = \alpha_b^{\mathbb{Q}_\lambda}(\theta_b^{\mathbb{Q}_\lambda} - b(t))\mathrm{d}t + \eta_b\mathrm{d}W_b^{\mathbb{Q}_\lambda}(t),$$

for
$$\alpha_b^{\mathbb{Q}_{\lambda}} = \alpha_b^{\mathbb{P}} + \eta_b \lambda_1$$
 and $\theta_b^{\mathbb{Q}_{\lambda}} = \frac{\alpha_b^{\mathbb{P}} \theta_b^{\mathbb{P}} - \eta_b \lambda_0}{\alpha_b^{\mathbb{Q}_{\lambda}}}$.

We bound the search domain to:

$$D_{\lambda} = \Big\{ \big(\lambda_0, \lambda_1\big) : 0 < \alpha_b^{\mathbb{Q}_{\lambda}} \le \overline{\alpha_b}, \quad |\theta_b^{\mathbb{Q}_{\lambda}}| \le \overline{\theta_b} \Big\}, \qquad \overline{\alpha_b}, \overline{\theta_b} \in \mathbb{R},$$

with $\overline{\alpha_b}$ and $\overline{\theta_b}$ bounds depending on the risk attitude/belief of the seller.

Restricted domain



Figure 7: Restricted search domain D_{λ} .

"Optimal" solution and replication bounds

- We solve the restricted problem inf_w max_{λb∈D_λ} L(w; λb) iteratively valuing the replication loss on a finite grid D_{λ,0} ⊂ D_λ.
- We observe a bang-bang behavior: the optimal replication under one measure is the worst against another one.



Figure 8: Discrete domain $D_{\lambda,0}$ and "worst" measures.



Figure 9: Replication with single swap.

Loss – swaption



Figure 10: Replication with swap and swaption.

Replication paths



Figure 11: Left: single swap. Right: swap and swaption.

Pricing and replication of the prepayment option introducing a non-hedgeable risk factor

Leonardo Perotti Joint work with Lech Grzelak and Kees Oosterlee L.Perotti@uu.nl Leonardo.Perotti@rabobank.com

Utrecht University – Mathematical Institute Rabobank – Treasury ALM Modelling

ICCF24, 4th April 2024





Leonardo Perotti Pricing and replication of the prepayment option